Supplemental Memo

Memo Date:

July 3, 2007

Hearing Date: July 24, 2007 (Continued from May 15 & June 20, 2007)

TO:

Board of County Commissioners

DEPARTMENT:

Public Works Dept./Land Management Division

PRESENTED BY:

BILL VANVACTOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

KENT HOWE, PLANNING DIRECTOR

AGENDA ITEM TITLE:

In the Matter of Considering a Ballot Measure 37 Claim and Deciding Whether to Modify, Remove or Not Apply

Restrictive Land Use Regulations in Lieu of Providing Just

Compensation (PA06-7257, Babb)

BACKGROUND

Applicant: Ray A. Babb

Current Owner: Ray A. Babb Trust

Agent: Jim Spickerman

Map and Tax lots: 17-04-16, #100 & # 500

Acreage: approximately 75 acres

Current Zoning: E40 (Exclusive Farm Use)

Date Property Acquired: October 27, 1978 (WD # 7872102) & December 26,

1979 (CWD #8001657)

Date claim submitted: December 1, 2006

180-day deadline: May 30, 2007

Land Use Regulations in Effect at Date of Acquisition: AGT5 (Agriculture,

Grazing, Timberland - 5 acres)

Restrictive County land use regulation: Minimum parcel size of forty acres and limitations on new dwellings in the E40 (Exclusive Farm Use) zone (LC

16.212).

This claim was originally heard on May 15 & June 20, 2007. The Board continued the discussion of this claim to the July 24, 2007 public hearing to provide for additional testimony, and to allow the claimants time to submit additional information, have staff evaluate that information, and have the Board reconsider the recommendation. The Board requested all new information to be submitted to Lane County by June 29, 2007. The applicant did not submit additional information into the record.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

The current owner is the Ray A. Babb Trust. The Ray A. Babb Trust acquired an interest in the property on October 27, 1978, when it was zoned AGT5 (WD #7872102).

The claim includes tax lots #100 and tax lot #500, and both tax lots are included in the valuation analysis. Both tax lots were zoned AGT when acquired by the current owner.

The minimum lot size and limitations on new dwellings in the E40 zone prevent the current owner from developing the property as could have been allowed when it was acquired by the Ray Babb Trust. The alleged reduction in fair market value is \$2,702,160, based on a comparative market analysis (CMA) submitted by the claimant. The CMA provided is of a form that has been accepted by the County Commissioners as competent evidence of valuation on previous claims, therefore the County Administrator has waived the requirement for an appraisal.

The minimum lot size and restrictions on new dwellings in the E40 zone do not appear to be exempt regulations.

CONCLUSION

It appears this is a valid claim. The first date of acquisition for the current owner, the Ray A. Babb Trust, is 1978 when the property was zoned AGT – 5.

RECOMMENDATION

The County Administrator recommends the Board adopt the attached order to waive the restrictive land use regulations of the E40 zone for the Ray A. Babb Trust.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF LANE COUNTY, OREGON

ORDER No. 07-6-20-9

) IN THE MATTER OF CONSIDERING A BALLOT
) MEASURE 37 CLAIM AND DECIDING
) WHETHER TO MODIFY, REMOVE OR NOT
) APPLY RESTRICTIVE LAND USE
) REGULATIONS IN LIEU OF PROVIDING JUST
) COMPENSATION (Babb/PA06-7257)

WHEREAS, the voters of the State of Oregon passed Ballot Measure 37 on November 2, 2004, which added provisions to Oregon Revised Statutes (ORS) Chapter 197 to require, under certain circumstances, payment to landowner if a government land use regulation restricts the use of private real property and has the effect of reducing the property value; and

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners of Lane County enacted Ordinance No. 18-04 on December 1, 2004, to establish a real property compensation claim application process in LC 2.700 through 2.770 for Ballot Measure 37 claims; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has reviewed an application for a Measure 37 claim submitted by Ray A. Babb, trustee of the Ray A. Babb Trust (PA06-7257), the owner of real property located north of Barger Drive and west of Beltline Road, Eugene, and more specifically described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 17-04-16, tax lots 100 and 500, consisting of approximately 75 acres in Lane County, Oregon; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined that the application appears to meet all of the criteria of LC 2.740(1)(a)-(d), appears to be eligible for just compensation and appears to require modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulations in lieu of payment of just compensation and has referred the application to the Board for public hearing and confirmation that the application qualifies for further action under Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined under LC 2.740(4) that modification, removal or not applying the restrictive land use regulation is necessary to avoid owner entitlement to just compensation under Ballot Measure 37 and made that recommendation to the Board; and

WHEREAS, the Board has reviewed the evidence and confirmed the application appears to qualify for compensation under Measure 37 but Lane County has not appropriated funds for compensation for Measure 37 claims and has no funds available for this purpose; and

WHEREAS, on May 15, June 20 and July 24, 2007, the Board conducted public hearings on the Measure 37 claim (PA06-7257) of Ray A. Babb and has now determined that the restrictive F2 (Exclusive Farm Use) zone dwelling and land division requirements of LC 16.211 were enforced and made applicable to prevent Ray A. Babb from developing the property as might have been allowed at the time he acquired an interest in the property on October 27, 1978, and that the public benefit from application of the current E40 dwelling and division land use regulations to the applicant's property is outweighed by the public burden of paying just compensation; and

WHEREAS, Ray A. Babb requests either \$2,702,160 as compensation for the reduction in value of his property, or waiver of all land use regulations that would restrict the division of land into lots containing less than forty acres and placement of a dwelling on each lot, uses that could have otherwise been allowed at the time he acquired an interest in the property; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that under LC 2.760(3) the public interest would be better served by modifying, removing or not applying the challenged land use regulations of the E40 zone to the subject property in the manner and for the reasons stated in the report and recommendation of the County Administrator incorporated here by this reference except as explicitly revised here to reflect Board deliberation and action to allow Ray A. Babb to make application for development of the subject property in a manner similar to what he could have been able to do under the regulations in effect when he acquired an interest in the property; and

WHEREAS, this matter having been fully considered by the Lane County Board of Commissioners.

NOW, THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the applicant Ray A. Babb made a valid claim under Ballot Measure 37 by describing the use being sought, identifying the county land use regulations prohibiting that use, submitting evidence that those land use regulations have the effect of reducing the value of the property, showing evidence that he acquired an interest in the property before the restrictive county land use regulations were enacted or enforced and the Board hereby elects not to pay just compensation but in lieu of payment, the request of Ray A. Babb shall be granted and the restrictive provisions of LC 16.212 that limit the development of dwellings and the division of land in the E40 (Exclusive Farm Use) Zone shall not apply to Ray A. Babb, so he can make application for approval to develop the property located north of Barger Drive and west of Beltline Road, Eugene, and more specifically described in the records of the Lane County Assessor as map 17-04-16, tax lots 100 and 500, consisting of approximately 75 acres in Lane County, Oregon; in a manner consistent with the land use regulations in effect when he acquired an interest in the property on October 27, 1978.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Ray A. Babb still needs to make application and receive approval of any division of the property or placement of a dwelling under the other land use regulations applicable to dividing the property or placing a dwelling that were not specifically identified or established by her as restricting the division of the property or placement of a dwelling, and it would be premature to not apply those regulations given the available evidence. To the extent necessary to effectuate the Board action to not apply the dwelling or division restrictions of the applicable zone described above, the claimant shall submit appropriate applications for review and approval of a new dwelling to show the specific development proposals and in the event additional county land use regulations result in a restriction of those uses that have the effect of reducing the fair market value of the property, the County Administrator shall have the authority to determine those restrictive county land use regulations that will not apply to that development proposal to preclude entitlement to just compensation under Measure 37, and return to the Board for action, if necessary. All other Lane Code land use and development regulations shall remain applicable to the subject property until such time as they are shown to be restrictive and that those restrictions reduce the fair market value of the subject property.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this action making certain Lane Code provisions inapplicable to use of the property by Ray A. Babb does not constitute a waiver or modification of state land use regulations and does not authorize immediate division of the subject property or immediate construction of a dwelling. The requirements of state law may contain specific standards regulating development of the subject property and the applicant should contact the Department of Administrative Services (DAS - State Services Division, Risk Management - Measure 37 Unit, 1225 Ferry Street SE, U160, Salem, OR 97301-4292; Telephone: (503) 373-7475; website address: http://www.oregon.gov/DAS/Risk/M37.shtml) and have the State of Oregon evaluate a Measure 37 claim and provide evidence of final state action before seeking county land use approval.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the other county land use regulations and rules that still apply to the property require that land use, sanitation and building permits be approved by Lane County before any development can proceed. Notice of this decision shall be recorded in the county deed records. This order shall be effective and in effect as described in LC 2.770 and Ballot Measure 37 to the extent permitted by law. This order does not resolve several questions about the effect and application of Measure 37, including the question of whether the right of applicant to divide or build dwellings can be transferred to another owner. If the ruling of the Marion County Circuit Court in *MacPherson v. Dept. of Administrative Services*, (Marion County Circ. Ct. Case No. 00C15769, October 14, 2005) or any other court decision involving Ballot Measure 37 becomes final and that decision or any subsequent court decision has application to Lane County in a manner that affects the authority of this Board to grant relief under Ballot Measure 37 and LC 2.700 through 2.770 then the validity and effectiveness of this Order shall be governed by LC 2.770 and the ruling of the court.

DATED this	day of	, 2007.	÷
		Faye Stewart, Chair	
		Lane County Board of County Comm	issioners

APPROVED AS TO FORM

FICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL